FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

2013 APR 18 P 12: 13

ALLISON COUGILL, ALICE DIXON,	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Case No.: /:/3 CVA 403 DRICCIPATINA Case No.: /:/3 CVA 403 DRICCIPATINA Company of the company of t
RONALD HANTZ, and ANNETTE POST,) COMPLAINT
Plaintiffs, v.)
PROSPECT MORTGAGE, LLC,)))
Defendant.	

Thomas Sehler, Mohamed Sadiqui, Lora Hartman, Monica Harmison, Allison Cougill, Alice Dixon, Ronald Hantz, and Annette Post ("Plaintiffs"), for their Complaint against Prospect Mortgage, LLC ("Defendant"), state as follows:

PARTIES AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- Plaintiff Thomas Sehler ("Plaintiff Sehler") is an adult resident of Arlington,
 Virginia. Plaintiff Sehler worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Fairfax,
 Virginia area from approximately June 2008 until April 2009.
- Plaintiff Mohamed Sadiqui ("Plaintiff Sadiqui") is an adult resident of Arlington,
 Virginia. Plaintiff Sadiqui worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Fairfax,
 Virginia area from approximately October 2008 until November 2009.
- Plaintiff Lora Hartman ("Plaintiff Hartman") is an adult resident of Hume,
 Virginia. Plaintiff Hartman worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Ashburn,
 Virginia area from approximately October 2009 until July 2010.

- 4. Plaintiff Monica Harmison ("Plaintiff Harmison") is an adult resident of Ashburn, Virginia. Plaintiff Harmison worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Ashburn, Virginia area from approximately June 2009 until September 2009.
- 5. Plaintiff Allison Cougill ("Plaintiff Cougill") is an adult resident of Richmond, Virginia. Plaintiff Cougill worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Richmond, Virginia area from approximately October 2007 until October 2011.
- 6. Plaintiff Alice Dixon ("Plaintiff Dixon") is an adult resident of Richmond,
 Virginia. Plaintiff Dixon worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Richmond,
 Virginia area from approximately October 2007 until February 2010.
- 7. Plaintiff Ronald Hantz ("Plaintiff Hantz") is an adult resident of Washington,

 D.C. Plaintiff Hantz worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Fairfax, Virginia area from approximately January 2007 until October 2009.
- 8. Plaintiff Annette Post ("Plaintiff Post") is an adult resident of Richmond,
 Virginia. Plaintiff Post worked as a loan officer for Defendant in an office in the Richmond,
 Virginia area from approximately February 2008 until July 2009.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a California corporation doing business in and maintaining offices in several states throughout the United States, including Virginia. According to its website, Defendant is one of the largest independent residential retail lenders in the United States, and offers mortgage products such as FHA, VA, conventional, jumbo, and super jumbo loans. Defendant formerly did business under the name Metrocities Mortgage.
- 10. On October 18, 2010, Elizabeth Sliger, Carol Dion and Scott Avila, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a lawsuit against Defendant in the United

States District Court for the Eastern District of California to recover overtime pay, minimum wages, and other relief. (hereinafter referred to as "Sliger" or "the Sliger matter.") On August 24, 2011, Judge Lawrence K. Karlton granted, in part, the Sliger plaintiffs' motion for FLSA conditional certification and authorized the Sliger plaintiffs to mail a notice of the lawsuit to all loan officers employed by Defendant between October 18, 2007, and August 24, 2011, who were paid on a commission-only basis. (See Sliger v. Prospect Mortgage, LLC, No. CIV. S-11-465 LKK/EFB, 2011 WL 3747947 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 24, 2011).)

- 11. Plaintiff Sehler opted-in to the Sliger matter on November 21, 2011. (See Ex. A.)
- 12. Plaintiff Sadiqui opted-in to the Sliger matter on November 15, 2011. (See Ex.

A.)

- 13. Plaintiff Hartman opted-in to the *Sliger* matter on August 26, 2011. (See Ex. A.)
- 14. Plaintiff Harmison opted-in to the *Sliger* matter on November 16, 2011. (See Ex.

A.)

15. Plaintiff Cougill opted-in to the *Sliger* matter on November 16, 2011. (See Ex.

A.)

- 16. Plaintiff Dixon opted-in to the *Sliger* matter on November 28, 2011. (See Ex. A.)
- 17. Plaintiff Hantz opted-in to the *Sliger* matter on January 6, 2012. (See Ex. A.)
- 18. Plaintiff Post opted-in to the *Sliger* matter on November 21, 2011. (See Ex. A.)
- 19. By stipulation of the parties, the Court decertified the *Sliger* matter on January 23, 2013. (Ex. B.) The stipulation permits "individual opt-in plaintiffs, who so choose, [to] pursue their individual claims in other forums." (*Id.*) In addition, the stipulation states that, the claims of all opt-in plaintiffs, including the claims of Plaintiffs, are tolled from the time they opted-in to the *Sliger* matter until April 23, 2013. (*Id.*)

20. Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

Plaintiffs worked for Defendant as loan officers during the relevant statutory periods. During the relevant statutory periods, Plaintiffs regularly worked over forty hours per week without overtime compensation. Plaintiffs seek relief for Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation under federal law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 21. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as this case is being brought under a federal statute, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- 22. Venue is proper in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because Defendant operated facilities in this district and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Defendant employed Plaintiffs as loan officers.
- 24. Defendant classified Plaintiffs as "exempt" from the overtime pay requirements of the FLSA and state law.
- 25. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and thereon allege that Defendant's gross annual sales made or business done is \$500,000.00 or greater. Defendant operates in interstate commerce by, among other things, selling mortgage loan products in multiple states.
 - 26. Defendant paid Plaintiffs on a commission-only basis.
 - 27. Plaintiffs routinely worked in excess of forty hours per week for Defendant.
- 28. Defendant suffered and permitted Plaintiffs to work more than forty hours per week without overtime compensation.

- 29. Defendant is in the business of selling mortgages. Plaintiffs' work is and was directly related to mortgage sales.
- 30. Defendant did not keep accurate records of the Plaintiffs' hours worked.

 Defendant never instructed Plaintiffs to keep records of their hours worked.
 - 31. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated and consistent.
- 32. Defendant is aware of wage and hour laws, as evidenced by the fact that they provide overtime compensation to other employees who are not loan officers. Moreover, it is common industry knowledge that courts and the United States Department of Labor have found loan officers to be non-exempt.
- 33. Defendant's conduct, as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiffs.

VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (Overtime Violations)

- 34. Plaintiffs allege and incorporate the above paragraphs by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. At all times relevant herein, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer," and Plaintiffs have been, or continue to be, "employees" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(d) and (e).
- 36. The FLSA requires covered employers, such as Defendant, to compensate all non-exempt employees at a rate not less than one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per week. 29 U.S.C. § 207. As such, Plaintiffs are entitled to overtime compensation at one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per week.

- 37. By failing to compensate Plaintiffs with overtime compensation for their overtime hours worked, Defendant has violated, and continues to violate the FLSA.
- 38. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 39. Plaintiffs seeks damages in the amount of Plaintiffs' unpaid overtime wages, an equal amount as liquidated damages, interest, all costs and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this claim, all other relief available under the FLSA, and all other such legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for relief as follows:

- A. Judgment that Plaintiffs are non-exempt employees entitled to protection under the FLSA;
- B. Judgment against Defendant for violations of the overtime provisions of the FLSA;
- C. Judgment that Defendant's violations as described above were willful;
- D. An award in an amount equal to Plaintiffs' unpaid overtime wages at the applicable rates, liquidated damages, and interest thereon;
- E. An award of prejudgment interest to the extent liquidated damages are not awarded;
- F. Leave to amend to bring additional claims, including but not limited to claims for unpaid minimum wages under the FLSA; and
- G. For such other and further relief, in law or equity, as the Court may deem appropriate and just.

Respectfully Submitted, Plaintiffs Thomas Sehler Mohamed Sadiqui, Lora Hartman, Monica Harmison, Allison Cougill, Alice Dixon, Ronald Hantz, and Annette Post, and

By Counsel

Dated: April 18, 2013

R. Scott Oswald, Esq.
VSB# 41770
Nicholas Woodfield, Esq.
VSB# 48938
The Employment Law Group, P.C.
888 17th Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 261-2812
(202) 261-2835 (facsimile)
soswald@employmentlawgroup.com
nwoodfield@employmentlawgroup.com

Curtis P. Zaun
MN Bar No. 266310*
Nichols Kaster, PLLP
4600 IDS Center, 80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 256-3200
Email: czaun@nka.com

Attorneys For Plaintiffs

^{*}pro hac vice application forthcoming

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK -EFB Document 83 Filed 11/16/11 Page 8 of 26

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage.
- 2. During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a loan officer.
- 3. I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by notifying the attorneys handling the matter.

Date: _	11/15/11	Allian D. Caught
		Alson D. Cougell Print Name

REDACTED

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK -EFB Document 88 Filed 11/28/11 Page 14 of 52

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage.
- 2. During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a loan officer.
- 3. I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by notifying the attorneys handling the matter.

Print Name
REDACTED

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK-EFB Document 108 Filed 01/06/12 Page 4 of 6 REDACTED

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage.
- 2. During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a loan officer.
- 3. I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by notifying the attorneys handling the matter.

Date: 01	01/05/12	Rorald Hents
		Signature

Ronald Hantz
Print Name

REDACTED

Return this form by fax, email, or mail to:

Nichols Kaster, PLLP, Attn: Robert Schug

Fax: (612) 215-6870 Email: rschug@nka.com

Address: 4600 IDS Center, 80 S. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK -EFB	Document 83	Filed 11/16/11	Page 13 of 26
REDACTED			

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage.
- 2. During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a loan officer.
- 3. I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by notifying the attorneys handling the matter.

Date: 11/15/11	Monice	O. Chiman
	Signature	7
•	MONICA	J. HARMISON
	Print Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

REDACTED

Return this form by fax, email, or mail to:

Nichols Kaster, PLLP, Attn: Robert Schug Fax: (612) 215-6870

Email: rschug@nka.com Address: 4600 IDS Center, 80 S. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402

Case 24940 160465-LKK -EFB Document 72 Filed 08/26/11 Page 3 of 3

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay und/or minimum wages.
- During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a
 loan officer, and did not receive proper compensation for all of my hours worked, including
 overtime pay and/or minimum wages.
- 3. If this case does not proceed collectively, then I also consent to join any subsequent action to assert these claims against Prospect Mortgage.

4. I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by notifying the attorneys handling the matter.

Date:

Signature

Lux M. Haltman

REDACTED

Return this form by fax, email or mail to:

Nichols Kaster, PLLP, Attn: Robert Schug

Fax: (612) 215-6870 Email: rschug@nka.com

Address: 4600 IDS Center, 80 S. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK -EFB Document 85 Filed 11/21/11 Page 31 of 46

PROSPECT MORTGAGE

	PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM
1.	I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage.
2.	During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a loan officer.
	I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by notifying the attorneys handling the matter. ate: 11 · 11 · 2011 Signature
	Print Name
	REDACTED

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK -EFB Document 82 Filed 11/15/11 Page 19 of 22

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage.
- 2. During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a loan officer.

3.	I understand that I may withdraw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time t	by
	notifying the attorneys handling the matter.	

Date: 11/12/11

Mohamed Sadyqui

REDACTED

Return this form by fax, email, or mail to:

Nichols Kaster, PLLP, Attn: Robert Schug

Fax: (612) 215-6870

Email: rschug@nka.com

Address: 4600 IDS Center, 80 S. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK -EFB Document 85 Filed 11/21/11 Page 36 of 46

PROSPECT MORTGAGE PLAINTIFF CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent to make a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, ct seq. against my current/former employer, Prospect Mortgage, to recover overtime pay and/or minimum wage,
- 2. During the past three years, there were occasions when I worked over 40 hours per week as a

loan officer.	are the decisions which t worked over 40 hours per week as a
3. I understand that I may with notifying the attorneys handling	draw my consent to proceed with my claims at any time by
Date: 11-11-2011	Hadam
	Signature
•	THOMAS SEHLER
	Print Name

REDACTED

EXHIBIT B

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between CAROL DION and SCOTT AVILA 2 ("Plaintiffs") and PROSPECT MORTGAGE, LLC ("Defendant" or "Prospect"), through their 3 respective undersigned counsel, as follows: 4 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have brought this lawsuit against Defendant alleging that they and 5 other mortgage loan officers throughout the country were misclassified as exempt employees and 6 are entitled to overtime, minimum wage, and other compensation under federal and California 7 wage-hour laws; 8 9

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Conditional Certification on June 28, 2011; WHEREAS, this Court partially granted Plaintiffs' motion for conditional certification under section 216(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") on August 24, 2011 (ECF No. 71) and ordered that notice be provided to all current and former loan officers paid on a commission-only basis who worked for Prospect at any time from three years prior to date notice issued to the present;

WHEREAS, notice was sent to the collective in November 2011 and then supplemental notice was sent in January 2012 with the supplemental notice period ending on March 27, 2012;

WHEREAS, approximately 595 individuals have filed consents to join this lawsuit that have not been withdrawn;

WHEREAS, over the last year the parties have conducted written discovery and taken a number of depositions, including the depositions of the named plaintiffs and depositions of multiple Rule 30(b)(6) witnesses;

WHEREAS, over the last several months the parties have been actively engaging in settlement discussions to resolve the claims that Plaintiffs have asserted in this litigation;

WHEREAS, counsel for all parties met in Los Angeles on May 16, 2012 and July 19, 2012, to discuss settlement and explore potential resolution;

WHEREAS, counsel have exchanged data and other information over the last several months in a mutual effort to further explore potential resolution;

WHEREAS, the parties participated in a day-long mediation in San Francisco on September 21, 2012 but were unable to resolve the matter;

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK-EFB Document 160 Filed 01/23/13 Page 3 of 5

WHEREAS, Defendant has notified Plaintiffs that it intends to file a motion to decertify the FLSA collective action;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that to avoid the cost and expense of discovery and motion practice associated with a motion to decertify the collective, the parties will stipulate that this matter should no longer proceed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and that the individual opt-in plaintiffs, who so choose, may pursue their individual claims in other forums;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that all opt-in plaintiffs should be dismissed from the action without prejudice to refile their individual claims in other forums;

WHEREAS, the parties further agree that the statutes of limitations with respect to the claims asserted in this lawsuit for each individual plaintiff have been tolled since the date that the individual plaintiff filed a consent form in this action, and in the event that Defendant raises the statute of limitations in any action brought by any of the opt-in plaintiffs following decertification, it agrees to extend any limitations period it asserts by 90 days;

WHEREAS, the parties further agree that the claims of the named Plaintiffs (Scott Avila and Carol Dion) should not be affected by this stipulation and will continue to proceed individually in this Court on the schedule set forth in the Court's latest scheduling order; and

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiffs and Defendant, through their respective undersigned counsel, that:

- (1) The collective action previously conditionally certified on August 24, 2011 (ECF No. 71) be decertified pursuant to this joint stipulation and that this case no longer proceed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (2) All opt-in plaintiffs (other than Carol Dion and Scott Avila) who have filed consent forms in this action should be dismissed without prejudice so that those who so choose may refile their individual claims in other forums;
- (3) The statutes of limitations with respect to the claims asserted in this lawsuit for each individual plaintiff have been tolled since the date that the individual plaintiff filed a consent form in this action, and in the event that Defendant raises the statute of limitations in any

Case 1:43-cv-01435-JCC-TRJ Document 1 Filed 11/21/13 Page 21 of 24 PageID# 21

Case 2:11-cv-00465-LKK-EFB Document 160 Filed 01/23/13 Page 5 of 5

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- (1) The collective action previously conditionally certified on August 24, 2011 (ECF No. 71) is hereby decertified and this case shall no longer proceed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- **(2)** All opt-in plaintiffs (other than the named Plaintiffs Carol Dion and Scott Avila) who have filed consent forms in this action are hereby dismissed without prejudice so that those who so choose may refile their individual claims in other forums;
- (3) The named Plaintiffs, Scott Avila and Carol Dion, will continue to proceed individually without any tolling in this Court on the schedule set forth in the Court's latest scheduling order; and
- Plaintiffs' counsel shall provide notice of this Order to all opt-in plaintiffs via (4) U.S. Mail. The notice sent to the opt-in plaintiffs will be in the form attached as Exhibit A to the parties' stipulation

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 23, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Court Hame: United States District Court Division: 1 Receipt Number: 14683835574 Cashier ID: dvanmetr Transaction Date: 04/18/2013 Payer Hame: THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP

CIVIL FILING FEE
For: THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP
Case/Party: D-VAE-1-13-CV-890473-001
Amount: \$350.00

CHECK
Remitter: THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP PC
Check/Honey Order Num: 32762
Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00 Total Tendered: \$350.00 Change Apt: \$0.00

1:13CV473

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

ne civil docket sneet. (SEE INST	ROCHORS ON NEXT FROM	7 171101 (71011)								
. (a) PLAINTIFFS Thomas Sehler, Mohamed Allison Cougill, Alice Dixor	d Sadiqui, Lora Hartma	n, Monica Harmis	on,	DEFENDAN Prospect Mortg		LLC				
(b) County of Residence o		ington, VA		County of Resid		an U.S.P.	d Defendant L LAINTIFF CASES O ONDEMNATION C. OF LAND INVOL	Los Angeles (DNLY) ASES, USE THE VED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A The Employment Law Gro 888 17th Street, N.W., Su	ddress, and Telephone Number) Dup, P.C. lite 900 Washington D.	C. 20006		Attorneys (If Kn	он <i>п)</i>					
(202)-261-2814			- C	ITIZENSHIP O	E DD	INCIDA	I PARTIES	(Place on "Y" in (me Hor fe	r Plaintiffi
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	m. c	(For Diversity Cases C	nly)		LIANILO	and One Box for	r Defenda	nt)
3 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	Citiz	zen of This State	PTF O		Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		PTF	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	zen of Another State	o :	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		D 5	5
				zen or Subject of a oreign Country	0	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		a 6	J 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				ORFEITURE/PENAL	7 1 (8)	BAN	KRUETCY	OTHER	STATU	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplanc 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJUI 365 Personal Injury Product Liabilit	RY 0 6	625 Drug Related Scizur of Property 21 USC 690 Other	re	☐ 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cl ☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus	apportion	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	7 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	y			■ 820 Copy■ 830 Pater	nt T	☐ 460 Deporta ☐ 470 Rackete	rce ition er Influen	iced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Persor Injury Product	nal		I	→ 840 Trad	emark	Corrupt 480 Consun	Organiza ner Credit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR			FSIXOURITE (490 Cable/S		odities/
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud	RTY	710 Fair Labor Standard Act		 ■ 861 HIA ■ 862 Blac 	(139511) k Lung (923)	Exchar	ige	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lendin		720 Labor/Mgmt, Relati 740 Railway Labor Act		□ 863 DIW□ 864 SSII	C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricul		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damag		751 Family and Medical		☐ 865 RSI		3 893 Enviror	nmental M	latters
□ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	385 Property Damag Product Liability	y 🗇	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigat 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	ion			☐ 895 Freedor Act ☐ 896 Arbitra		manon
REAL PROBERTY	CIVILIZIGHTS	PRISONER RETITIO		Security Act			ALTAX SUITS	■ 899 Admini	istrative Pi view or A _l	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vac Sentence	ate				efendant)	Agency	Decision	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	Habeas Corpus:	1				—Third Party JSC 7609	950 Constit		of
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	535 Death Penalty		MMIGRATION						
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus & C		462 Naturalization App 463 Habeas Corpus -	lication					
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 555 Prison Condition	on	Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition)						
	Other 448 Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainer Conditions of Confinement		465 Other Immigration Actions						
Ø1 Original □ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Remanded from Appellate Court		einstated or		erred from r district	□ 6 Multidis Litigatio			
rioccoung St	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 29 U.S.C. § 216	atute under which you					diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of co									,
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P		ON	DEMAND S			CHECK YES onl JURY DEMAND		n compla	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	ATTORN	YOF RECORD						
04/18/2013		10)						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP)	JU	DGE		MAG. J	UDGE		